

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/075,959	(02/14/2002	Ralph R. McDonald	3842-A1 9824		
7590 03/17/2004			EXAMINER			
Robert A. Pars	sons		GIBSON, RANDY W			
PARSONS & C	GOLTR	Y				
Suite 260				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
340 East Palm l	Lane		2841			
Phoenix, AZ 85004				DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·	
	····	Application No.	Applicant(s)	OX.
		10/075,959	MCDONALD, RALF	PH R.
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Randy W. Gibson	2841	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specif - If NO period for reply is specified by the SANY reply received by the SANY reply reply received by the SANY reply received by the SANY reply received by the SANY reply	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. ied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply cified above, the maximum statutory period wet or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing lent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on <u>Febru</u>	uary 17, 2004.		
2a)☐ This action is F	INAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	cation is in condition for alloward ance with the practice under E	•		merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the abov 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> i 7) ☐ Claim(s)		vn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may no	n is objected to by the Examine filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the file of the	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119			
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	nt is made of a claim for foreign me * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priority documents on from the International Bureaud detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cit		4) Interview Summar		
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/075,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of the applicant's remarks, it appears that the examiner's previous interpretation of claim 20 was incorrect. Therefore the examiner's previously indicated allowability of claim 20 was incorrect since claim 20 does not expressly contain the limitation that the examiner thought it did upon its first reading. The delay in making this rejection is regretted

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection, *infra*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 8-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (US # 2,974,518). Jones discloses a conveyor (2) with a weighing system that is "capable of" continuously sensing a load on the conveyor (Col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, lines 20), and a calibration system (16) that is "capable" of selectively applying a reference load (32,38) without interrupting the ability of the weighing system to sense a load on the conveyor (Col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,959

Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

4. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 14, 15,19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson et al (US # 3,976,150). Wilson et al disclose a conveyor (12) with a weighing system (20) that is "capable of" continuously sensing a load on the conveyor (Col. 2, line 50 to col. 3, line 5), a calibration system (15) that is "capable" of selectively applying a reference load (14) without interrupting the ability of the weighing system to sense a load on the conveyor (Col. 3, lines 5-24), and a "comparator"/"controller" (60) for comparing the sensed load to a reference load (Col. 5, lines 5-65). Obviously the "storage" for storing load data is inherently part of the computer (60) since every computer has to have a working memory in order to be operative. See *In re Napier*, 55 F.3d 610, 613, 34 USPQ2d 1782, 1784 (Fed. Cir. 1995); and, *MPEP* § 2112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (US # 2,974,518) in view of Wilson et al (US # 3,976,150).

 Jones discloses the claimed invention, as discussed *supra*, except for the "comparator"/"controller" and the data "storage". The system of Jones relies upon a mechanical indicator which is manually adjusted. However, Wilson et al shows that it is known to use digital control system calibrate and correct weight readings as discussed *supra*. It would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to upgrade the system of

Application/Control Number: 10/075,959 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

Jones to include a digital controller, as suggested by the example of Wilson et al, to improve accuracy and reliability of the device.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 271-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rand W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841